

Neighborhood Plan Process Questionnaire

The results of this questionnaire will be used by staff to identify possible improvements to our Neighborhood Planning process

33 surveys returned.

1. Did you volunteer to serve on the Neighborhood Resource Team (NRT)?
Yes-28% No-72%

2. If you answered "Yes" above, did you attend the majority of NRT meetings?
Yes-78% No-11%

3. Please indicate the planning meetings that you attended during the neighborhood planning process:
21 -Plan Kick-Off Meeting (October 2012)
16 - Issues & Opportunities Meeting (November 2012)
13 - Public Meeting Series (Bicycling & Walking Facilities) (February 2013)
6 - Public Meeting Series (HOAs & Neighborhood Associations) (February 2013)
11 - Public Meeting Series (On-Street Parking) (February 2013)

4. I am clear on the purpose and process of Neighborhood Planning:
Agree-91%
Disagree-9%

5. Adequate information was provided, such as handouts and posters, that enabled me to understand the purpose and process:
Agree-94%
Disagree-6%

6. The length of Neighborhood Planning process was
Too long-9%
Just about right-76%
Too short-15%

7. I feel that the Plan that has resulted from the planning process represents the neighborhood's opinion:
Agree-75%
Disagree-25%

8. I believe that my concerns were heard and taken into account during the process:
Agree-77%
Disagree-23%

9. I found City Staff to be knowledgeable on discussion topics:
Agree-97%
Disagree-3%

10. I found the meetings to be well organized:
Agree-97%
Disagree-3%

Comments:

The City needed to provide more structure/leadership to the meetings to keep process moving. Residents needed more input on actual report wording prior to giant draft report. Good concept, the City “gets it,” but will anything change?

I know the City has tried to keep us informed. I know informational meetings were held last fall. It was only the last month I realized Angelina was part of the sidewalk project. Many of us have flood control berms in place that could be compromised with sidewalks.

Yes, please install no parking signs already approved.

A big issue is traffic safety on our street (Medina). With parking by students on both sides of the street, it is difficult to back out of our driveway at times in addition to the fact that your view of oncoming traffic on the street is obstructed. Our daughter was in an accident some years ago for the exact reason.

Another major concern is absentee homeowners who rent to students. The lots are in disrepair- tall grass/dead grass/dead trees, etc. All this has decreased our property value.

A major concern is vehicles parking on the street (Medina Dr.) rather than in their driveways. In many instances and emergency vehicle would have difficulty negotiating the street.

Recommendations sound good – but we’re concerned to know more about how they will be enforced.

1212 S. Dexter needs no parking on at least one side if not both.

There should be a not a through street sign at the end/beginning of Lawyer Place. Lots of people come down there thinking they can go through and have to turn around. I live in the circle end.

It is not feasible to get tenants names in rental registration due to the amount of turn over of residents at any given time.

Drainage problem between Guadalupe and Madera. The concrete flow line is breaking and creating washouts as well as hazards to anyone walking. It has steadily gotten worse since the gas company bored under to place a new main. Example of washouts is behind